

# Kalman Tracking and Bayesian Detection for Radar RFI Blanking

Weizhen Dong, Brian D. Jeffs Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Brigham Young University

J. Richard Fisher National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Green Bank RFI 2004, Penticton, 17 July 2004





#### Introduction

- The Green Bank Telescope and other observatories experience significant L-band interference from air surveillance RADARs.
- This impulsive RFI is a prime candidate for time-domain, blanking-based mitigation.
- We present an improved blanking method which dynamically tracks moving aircraft echoes.
- The proposed algorithm was tested using real 1292 MHz RADAR data recorded by R. Fisher at the GBT.





#### **RADAR Interference Geometry**

- Transmitter is 104 km SSE of GBT.
- Weak line-of-sight signal.
- Strong local mountain terrain clutter.
- Multiple aircraft echoes are seen out to several hundred km.
- For aircraft tracking this is a "bi-static," uncooperative RADAR scenario.





### **Baseline Solution**



 Goal: Remove ARSR-3 Air Surveillance RADAR RFI from desired cosmic signal at the GBT.



A 60° of a single antenna sweep overhead at GBT. Time series data is reordered into range-bearing bins

#### RFI'2004 – Penticton, July 2004

### **Baseline Solution**

- Goal: Remove ARSR-3 Air Surveillance RADAR RFI from desired cosmic signal at the GBT.
- "Simple time window blanking" removes only fixed, repeatable local terrain clutter.

Zero out first 150 µs after first pulse arrival

A 60° of a single antenna sweep overhead at GBT. Time series data is reordered into range-bearing bins





### **Baseline Solution**

- Goal: Remove ARSR-3 Air Surveillance RADAR RFI from desired cosmic signal at the GBT.
- "Simple time window blanking" removes only fixed, repeatable local terrain clutter.
- "Detected pulse blanking" suppresses many moveable aircraft echoes.

Weak echoes remain









- Problems:
  - Cannot perform "detected pulse" aircraft blanking in <u>real-time</u> due to process delay.
  - With "detected pulse blanking," weaker echoes are missed and can bias spectral estimates.
- Proposed approach:
  - Use a Kalman tracker to develop prior information on each aircraft.
    - Tracker predicts locations for next echo:
      - 1) Blank prediction region in real-time.
      - 2) Detector-blanker runs parallel with observation process.
    - Locally increase prior probability for echo pulse arrival in prediction region :

Bayesian detector improves  $P_D$  for weak pulses.



• Mix RF center frequency to complex baseband.



Where: x[n] = sampled RF signal

 $x_{b}[n] =$  complex baseband signal

q[n] = magnitude squared envelope for detection thresholding

 $\omega_0$  = transmit pulse carrier frequency

h[n] = matched filter impulse response



• Manual Hamming window fit to approximate to the transmitted pulse.





- Goal: Locate isolated echo points in overlapping ambiguity surfaces.
- Method: CLEAN iterative beampattern subtraction.
- Constraint: the process stops when residual peak is lower than detection threshold,  $\tau_0$ .
  - Solve for the threshold,  $\tau_0$ , by selecting a false alarm rate  $P_{FA}$ , such that

$$P_{FA} = \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} f(q[n] | H_0) dq$$

•  $f(q[n]|H_0)$  is a Rayleigh distribution.



- Examples of CLEAN detected echoes.
- Circles show two missed detection with conventional constant threshold algorithm.





- Discrete-time, two-dimensional, two-state tracking.
- Sequentially estimate position & velocity of aircraft moving with constant velocity, perturbed by a zero mean random acceleration,  $a_n$ .
- Snapshot sample interval is  $T = t_{n+1} t_n$ .

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{x}_n + \boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{a}_n, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_n = [x_n, y_n, \dot{x}_n, \dot{y}_n]^T,$$
$$\boldsymbol{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & T & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{T^2}{2} & 0 & T & 0 \\ \frac{T^2}{2} & 0 & T \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- Measurements,  $z_n$ , are noise corrupted in range and bearing by  $v_n$ .
- Observations are polar, in range and bearing.
- Tracking is performed in the Cartesian coordinate system.

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}) + \boldsymbol{v}_{n},$$
$$\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{x_{n}^{2} + y_{n}^{2}} \\ \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y_{n}}{x_{n}}\right) \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\boldsymbol{v}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \upsilon_{r}(n), \upsilon_{\theta}(n) \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$



#### Kalman Tracker: Observation Equations

Kalman Tracker: Prediction and Update Equations



• This tracking system is nonlinear, so use an extended Kalman filter.

Prediction:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}(n+1 \mid n) = \boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{x}(n \mid n),$$
$$\boldsymbol{P}(n+1 \mid n) = \boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{P}(n \mid n)\boldsymbol{F} + \boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{G},$$

Update:

$$\hat{x}(n+1|n+1) = \hat{x}(n+1|n) + K(n+1)[z(n+1) - h(\hat{x}(n+1|n)],$$
  

$$P(n+1|n+1) = [I - K(n+1)H(n+1)]P(n+1),$$
  

$$K(n+1) = P(n+1|n)H^{T}(n+1)[H(n+1)P(n+1|n)H^{T}(n+1)]^{-1},$$
  
where  $H(n+1) = \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right]_{x=x(n+1|n)}$ 

#### RFI'2004 – Penticton, July 2004

## A Tracking Example with GBT Data

- 5 snapshots of CLEAN detections.
- Prediction points for snapshot n=6 shown.
- Prediction region ellipses have difference sizes depending on track quality.
- Size is proportional to prediction error variance in *P*(n+1|n).





#### A Tracker-Based Prior Distribution for Bayesian Detection



- Pulse arrival prior distribution f(x,y)
  - Defined on elliptical prediction region:
    - Centroid: prediction point

 $(\hat{x}_{n+1 \mid n, \hat{y}_{n+1 \mid n})$ 

- Radii: proportional to

 $\sqrt{P_{n+1|n(1,1)}} \sqrt{P_{n+1|n(2,2)}}$ 

• Use a truncated elliptical Gaussian surface.



**Probability of Presence of an Echo** 

 Integrating f(x,y) over the corresponding polar patch yields probability of presence of an echo in a single range-bearing bin:





- Characteristics:
  - Probability decreases with distance from the prediction point.
  - Does not depend on gross range, R, but on ellipse axis length,  $r_{yl}$ , from bin to prediction point.



• Define a new error metric: total probability of false alarm:

 $P_{TFA} = P(H_0) P_{FA}$ 

 $= P(H_0) \int_{\tau}^{\infty} f(q[n] | H_0) dq.$ 

- This is the <u>unconditional</u> probability that a false alarm will occur at a given bin.  $P_{FA}$  is conditional on there being no echo present.
- Uses prior information in track that an echo is likely.
- For constant total false alarm rate detection, solve for  $\tau(x,y)$  at each range-bearing bin using  $P(H_o)$  from track

 $P_{TFA} = P(H_0) \int_{\tau(x,y)}^{\infty} f(q[n] | H_0) dq = c, \text{ a constant.}$ 

• Declare a detection by test:

If  $q[(n)|_{(x,y)}] > \tau(x,y)$ , decide  $H_1$ .



- Results in decreased threshold for weaker pulses in regions with higher probability.
- $\tau(x,y)$  is position dependent, lower in prediction regions.



- Probability of detection is increased without significantly raising  $P_{FA}$ .
- Low quality tracks produce wider, less deep reduced threshold regions.

#### RFI'2004 – Penticton, July 2004

Simulated Experimental Results

- Sampled Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) curve comparison of the two algorithms.
- Monte-Carlo simulation for repeated random trials to evaluate  $P_D$ . v.s.  $P_{FA}$ .
- Bayesian method has uniformly higher  $P_D$  at all  $P_{FA}$ .





### **GBT** Data Experimental Results



#### • Real data set 1 echo detection result:



Note that 3 weak echoes (inside the rectangles) are detected by new algorithm.

## Data Set 1 Blanking performance





Unblanked spectrum.

The spectra integrated: Top spectrum is for simple time window, which blanked 30 microseconds before and 150 microseconds after the earliest arriving pulses. Two bottom spectra are for window blanking combined with detected pulse blanking (conventional and new algorithms, respectively).

#### Real Data Set 2 Results, Matching $P_{FA}$ between algorithms





Detection tracks for both new and conventional algorithms.  $P_{FA} = 3.8676 \times 10^{-8}$ .

The new algorithm detected some weaker echoes without additional false alarms.





For the conventional algorithm we lowered the constant threshold to recover the two missed detections.  $P_{FA} = 2.3205 \times 10^{-7}$ .

This introduces four false alarms (inside triangles).

### Data Set 2 Blanking Performance





Spectrum was integrated from 187.2 to 188.4 seconds.

This includes three echoes missed by conventional fixed threshold detection.





Unblanked v.s. simple time window blanked.

Time window blanking combined with detected pulse blanking (using new and conventional echo detection algorithms, respectively).





- Kalman tracking enables predictive real-time detected pulse blanking without a processing lag.
- A high speed DSP processor is required for real-time pulse detection.
- The Bayesian framework improves detection sensitivity,  $P_D$ , without increasing  $P_{FA}$ .
- Bayesian detection can be real time or post processing on recorded data.



- First arrival time of each pulse must be detected from the data to form range-bearing map.
  - Initial Alignment: approximate based on estimated global parameters:
    - Measured pulse repetition rate (PRF) = 341.4 Hz.
    - Periodic time offset of an integer multiples of 100 microseconds in sequence of [0,4,0,3,1,2,1,3].
  - Improved Alignment using CFAR detection



#### Preliminaries: Pulse Time Alignment (cont.)





(a) Initial pulse time alignment

(b) Improved alignment using CFAR detection



- Track management tasks
  - Track Association
  - Missed detections
  - Dropping a track
  - Creating a new track
  - Splitting a track

#### • Two modes of operation:

- Real-time processing: blanks the corrupted data before detection, using only prediction regions.
- Post processing: blanks after detection. Exploit track history to improve detections