# On Interference Cancellation with a Focal Plane Array

Brian D. Jeffs, Karl F. Warnick and James Nagel Brigham Young University

SKA Cal and Imaging Workshop 2006 Cape Town, South Africa

## The Promise of Array Feeds for Radio Astronomy



- Multiple simultaneously formed beams in different look directions.
- Fast survey capability.
- Adaptive beamforming to cancel RFI.
- Direct and adaptive control of dish illumination pattern.
- Increased sensitivity and spillover efficiency.
- Some SKA configurations may require this to deal with RFI.



## The Challenges of Array Feeds for Radio Astronomy



- Complexity.
- Beampattern rumble.
- Difficult to maintain calibrated, stable beam response with moving interference.
- High stability requirements make even variations in sidelobe patterns problematic.



Why are adaptive beamforming feeds not already in wide use?

- Much work is needed to develop wideband, very low noise, cryo-cooled, densely packed feed array systems.
  - e.g. Bradley and Fisher, NRAO.



- Mutual coupling and impedance matching are still being studied.
  - e.g. optimal multiport lossless matching networks: Jensen and Warnick, BYU.
- Beyond these hurdles, astronomers can't trust the time-varying beampattern needed to adaptively cancel interference.
  - Mainlobe shape constraints are possible (e.g. LCMV), but inadequate for demanding astronomical requirements.
  - We introduce a viable solution to truly correct for beam-distortioninduced PSD estimation bias.



# The BYU Experimental Array Feed





#### BYU Prototype Array

#### <u>Geometry</u>

- Seven dipole elements
- Hexagonal grid
- 0.6λ element spacing

#### **Configuration**

- Ground-plane backing
- 3 meter parabolic reflector
- Full sampling
- Seven channel receiver





## Element Design

- Balun-fed dipole
- Thickened arms for 30% bandwidth (BW = -10 dB reflection coefficient)
- Impedance matched to 50 Ω without a matching network.
- Center frequency = 1600 MHz
- $\lambda/4$  above the ground plane.





## Array Receivers



- Eight receiver channels (7 array elements plus auxiliary antenna).
- Scalable modular design (Future array will require 19 elements).
- Connectorized to avoid cross-talk.
- 2 Channels per box.



## Element Directivity and Coupling Measurements



- A characterization of antenna array electrical and radiation properties is required.
- Used NRAO Green Bank outdoor antenna test range.
- Patterns were measured one element at a time with others terminated or open.
- Lock-in amplifier enabled accurate phase vs bearing measurements.



## RFI Mitigation Experiment



- Signal at boresight
  - Standard gain horn (14 dBi)
  - CW transmission
  - 1611.3 MHz
- Interferer at 30°
  - Dipole antenna
  - 1 kHz modulated FM
  - 30 kHz deviation
  - 1611.3 MHz



#### PSD Estimate with Moving RFI



Correlation time = 4.9 ms

Adaptive beamforming even works with a non-stationary interferer.



## Other Array Feed Studies Under Way

- Spillover efficiency and sensitivity optimization.
- Pattern rumble minimization.
- Optimal element spacing and array plane placement.
- Aperture efficiency.
- Beam shape control for off-axis beams.



Bias Corrected Interference Canceling Array Power Spectral Density Estimation

#### The Need for Bias Correction



Examples of beampattern distortion for two adaptive cancelation algorithms with a mainlobe interference.

- Null placement for interference canceling distorts nominal beampattern.
- Most severe as interferer enters mainlobe.
- Even if mainlobe is well controlled, pattern rumble affects noise floor.
- Particularly problematic when sidelobe rumble affects dish illumination spillover.



#### Signal And Beamforming Model





#### Algorithm Overview

- Beamformed feed output, y[n], is processed over a long term integration interval (LTI) for a PSD estimate,  $S_y$ .
- Interference must move relative to source of interest.
- Array covariance is assumed stationary over a short term integration (STI) window.
- Over the LTI, beam distortion effects in  $S_v$  are removed.
- An extension of Leshem and Van der Veen's bias corrected covariance subspace filtering for synthesis imaging: *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 46, no 5.*
- Does not yield a corrected time series, *y*[*n*].



#### Subspace Projection Beamforming

Signal Model for j<sup>th</sup> STI window of length L:

$$\mathbf{x}[n] = \left[x_1[n], \cdots x_p[n]\right]^T = \mathbf{a}_s s[n] + \mathbf{A}_d[n]\mathbf{D}[n] + \boldsymbol{\eta}[n]$$

 $\mathbf{X}_{j} = [\mathbf{x}[jL], \mathbf{x}[jL+1], \cdots, \mathbf{x}[(j+1)L-1]].$ 

- Sample covariance for  $j^{th}$  STI:  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{j} = \frac{1}{L} \mathbf{X}_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{H}$
- Partitioned eigen decomposition estimates subspace U<sub>d</sub> spanning interference array signature, A<sub>d</sub>[jL]:

 $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{j}[\mathbf{U}_{d} | \mathbf{U}_{s+\eta}] = [\mathbf{U}_{d} | \mathbf{U}_{s+\eta}] \Lambda$ 

Projection beamformer weight for *j*<sup>th</sup> STI:

$$\mathbf{w}_{j} = \mathbf{P}_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}$$
 where  $\mathbf{P}_{j} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{U}_{d} \mathbf{U}_{d}^{H}$ . Note  $\mathbf{P}_{j} \mathbf{A}_{d} [jL] = \mathbf{0}$ 



#### Uncorrected Array PSD Estimator

Beamformed output vector for *j*<sup>th</sup> STI:

$$\mathbf{y}_{j}^{T} = \mathbf{w}_{j}^{H} \mathbf{X}_{j} = \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{H} \mathbf{P}_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}$$

Welch's PSD estimator for projection beamformer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{y}^{T} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left| \mathbf{DFT}\{\mathbf{y}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{G}\} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left| \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{H} \mathbf{P}_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j} \mathbf{GF} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{T}) \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (\mathbf{P}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{j}^{*}) \left( (\mathbf{X}_{j} \mathbf{GF}) \circ (\mathbf{X}_{j} \mathbf{GF})^{*} \right)$$



#### The Corrected Array PSD Estimator

Bias corrected PSD estimator:

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{y,c}^{T} = \frac{1}{M} (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{T}) \mathbf{C}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (\mathbf{P}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{j}^{*}) ((\mathbf{X}_{j} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{F}) \circ (\mathbf{X}_{j} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{F})^{*})$$
$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (\mathbf{P}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{j}^{*})$$

Since P<sub>j</sub> removes the the nonstationary interference from X<sub>j</sub>, on average C<sup>-1</sup> cancels bias caused by P<sub>j</sub>.

$$E\{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{y,c}^{T}\} = \frac{1}{M} (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{T}) \mathbf{C}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (\mathbf{P}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{P}_{j}^{*}) E\{(\mathbf{X}_{j}\mathbf{GF}) \circ (\mathbf{X}_{j}\mathbf{GF})^{*}\}$$

$$= (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{T}) E\{(\mathbf{X}_{s+\eta,1}\mathbf{GF}) \circ (\mathbf{X}_{s+\eta,1}\mathbf{GF})^{*}\}$$
same as Welch's PSD w/o interference!

BRIGHAM YOUNG

#### Simulation Results

- Seven element uniform line array, no reflector.
- Half wavelength element spacing.
- 10<sup>5</sup> samples in the long-term integration (LTI).
- 512 samples per STI window, no overlap.
- Hamming window shaping.
- Two moving interferers, starting at -40° and 33°.
- One stationary desired source at 5°.
- Array is calibrated in source direction.
- Response in all other directions is unknown.



# Subspace Projection Beam Response vs Time



- Interferers
  - 10 dB INR for both.
  - Moving at 4.5e<sup>-4</sup> and 3.0e<sup>-4</sup> degrees/sample.
  - FM modulation.
  - One interferer freq. band overlaps desired source.
- Source
  - □ -30 dB SNR.
  - Narrowband.
- Adaptive null cuts into main lobe near the end of long term integration.
- Significant beamshape distortion.



#### Last STI Beampatterns

- Subspace projection keeps a null on the mainlobe interferer.
  - High sidelobes raise noise power in beamformer output.
- LCMV has less distortion due to minimum variance criterion.
  - trades-off noise v.s. interference.
- All have calibrated 0 dB response to source signal at 5°.



BYU BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY

## Effective Average Beampatterns over all STIs



- Computed as follows:
  - Use beamformer weights for each STI as computed from interference data set.
  - Place narrowband unit power source at a test bearing  $\theta$ .
  - Calculate PSD using all STIs.
     Power at source frequency is the response for direction θ.
  - Repeat for all  $\theta$ .
- Bias correction removes subspace projection distortions!
- Effective response exactly matches conventional beamformer!



## PSD Estimate Comparisons



- Only subspace projection methods adequately cancel mainlobe interferer.
- Only bias corrected subspace projection keeps noise floor low enough to see signal.
- No bias in noise PSD level, but noise estimation variance is a bit higher.



#### When Interferer Stays Outside Mainlobe



Sidelobe rumble is evident, but mainobe is relatively unperturbed All methods but non-adaptive conventional beamforming yield good PSDs.

BYU BRIGHAM YOUNG



Power Spectral Density using all data via Welch's method

#### When Interferer Stays Outside Mainlobe



Applications sensitive to small changes in sidelobe pattern shape would still benefit from bias correction.



## Conclusions

- Effective bias correction has been demonstrated for array PSD estimation during RFI cancellation.
- Unbiased array PSD estimator can be applied to any array configuration (not just array feeds).
- This will be useful for beamforming clusters of dishes being proposed for some SKA configurations. Also LOFAR stations.
- Next step is accurate feed array simulation.
- Real data experiments are underway.
- Full effective beampattern is hard to determine experimentally.

