A.1. Use the first seven relations to prove relations (A.10), (A.13), and (A.16). Prove  $(F \cup G)^c = F^c \cap G^c$  (A.10).  $(F \cup G)^c = ((F^c \cap G^c)^c)^c$  by A.6.  $(F \cup G)^c = F^c \cap G^c$  by A.4

Prove  $F \cup (F \cap G) = F = F \cap (F \cup G)$  (A.13).  $F \cap (F \cup G) = (F \cap F) \cup (F \cap G)$  by A.3.  $F \cap (F \cup G) = F \cup (F \cap G)$  by A.20 (proved in book) Now let's look at:  $F \subset F \cup X \therefore F \subset F \cup (F \cap G)$  $F \cap X \subset F \therefore F \cap (F \cup G) \subset F$ Because  $F \cap (F \cup G) = F \cup (F \cap G)$ ,  $F \subset F \cap (F \cup G)$  and  $F \cap (F \cup G) \subset F$  $\therefore F = F \cap (F \cup G)$  $F \cup (F \cap G) = F = F \cap (F \cup G).$ Prove  $F \cup G = F \cup (F^c \cap G) = F \cup (G - F)$  (A.16).  $F \cup G = (F \cup G) \cap \Omega$  by A.7.  $(F \cup G) \cap \Omega = (F \cup G) \cap (F \cup F^c)$  by A.10.  $(F \cup G) \cap (F \cup F^c) = F \cup (G \cap F^c)$  by A.17.  $\therefore F \cup (G \cap F^c) = F \cup (F^c \cap G)$  by A.8.  $G - F \triangleq G \cap F^c$  $\therefore F \cup (G \cap F^c) = F \cup (G - F)$  $\therefore F \cup G = F \cup (F^c \cap G) = F \cup (G - F)$ A.4 Show that  $F \subset G$  implies that  $F \cap G = F$ ,  $F \cup G = G$ , and  $G^c \subset F^c$ .  $F\subset G\Rightarrow F\cap G=F$  $F \subset G$  means that  $\omega \in F \Rightarrow \omega \in G$ .  $\omega \in F \cap G \Leftrightarrow \omega \in F \text{ and } \omega \in G \Rightarrow \omega \in F$  $\Rightarrow F \cap G \subset F$  and  $F \subset G \cap F$  $\Rightarrow F \cap G = F$  $F \subset G \Rightarrow F \cup G = G$  $\omega \in F \cup G \Rightarrow \omega \in F \text{ or } \omega \in G$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in G$  or  $\omega \in G$  because  $F \subset G$ .  $\Rightarrow \omega \in G$  $\Rightarrow F \cup G \subset G.$  $\omega \in G \Rightarrow \omega \in G \cap \Omega$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in G \cap (F \cup F^c)$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in (G \cap F) \cup (G \cap F^c)$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in F \text{ or } \omega \in (G \cap F^c)$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in F \text{ or } \omega \in G$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in F \cup G$  $\Rightarrow G \subset F \cup G$  $\Rightarrow F \cup G = G$  $F \subset G \Rightarrow G^c \subset F^c$  $\omega\in G^c\Leftrightarrow\omega\notin G$  $\Rightarrow \omega \notin F$  $\Rightarrow \omega \in F^c$  $\Rightarrow G^c \subset F^c$ 

A.8 Prove the countably infinite version of deMorgan's "laws." For example, given a sequence of sets  $F_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c\right)^c.$$

To do this, we start by proving two subset relationships 
$$\begin{split} & \omega \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \in F_i \text{ for all } i. \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{c} F_i^c \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c )^c \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c)^c \\ \therefore & \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \subset (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c)^c \\ & \omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \notin F_i^c \text{ for any } i. \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \in F_i \text{ for all } i. \\ \Rightarrow & \omega \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \\ \therefore & (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c)^c \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \\ \text{Because these two are subsets of each other,} \\ & \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^c)^c \end{split}$$

A.12 Show that inverse images preserve set theoretic operations, that is, given  $f: \Omega \to A$ and sets F and G in A, then

$$f^{-1}(F^{c}) = (f^{-1}(F))^{c},$$
  
$$f^{-1}(F \cup G) = f^{-1}(F) \cup f^{-1}(G),$$

and

$$f^{-1}(F \cap G) = f^{-1}(F) \cap f^{-1}(G).$$

If  $\{F_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is an indexed family of subsets of A that partitions A, show that  $\{f^{-1}(F_i), i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$ . Do images preserve set theoretic operations in general? (Prove that they do or provide a counterexample).

For 
$$f^{-1}(F^c) = (f^{-1}(F))^c$$
,  
 $\omega \in f^{-1}(F^c) \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \in F^c \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \notin F \Leftrightarrow \omega \notin f^{-1}(F) \Leftrightarrow \omega \in [f^{-1}(\omega)]^c$   
For  $f^{-1}(F \cup G) = f^{-1}(F) \cup f^{-1}(G)$ ,  
 $\omega \in f^{-1}(F \cup G) \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \in F \cup G \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \in F$  or  $f(\omega) \in G$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \omega \in f^{-1}(F)$  or  $\omega \in f^{-1}(G) \Leftrightarrow \omega \in f^{-1}(F) \cup f^{-1}(G)$   
For  $f^{-1}(F \cap G) = f^{-1}(F) \cap f^{-1}(G)$ ,  
 $\omega \in f^{-1}(F \cap G) \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \in F \cap G \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \in F$  and  $f(\omega) \in G$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \omega \in f^{-1}(F)$  and  $\omega \in f^{-1}(G) \Leftrightarrow \omega \in f^{-1}(F) \cap f^{-1}(G)$ 

Take  $\{F_i, i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . If it is an indexed family of subests of A that partitions A, this means that  $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ ; all  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}, i \neq j$  and that

 $\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}}F_i=A$ 

We now need to show the same for the inverse image  $\{f^{-1}(F_i), i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . Our proofs above show that set theoretic operations are preserved for inverse images.  $f^{-1}(F_i) \cap f^{-1}(F_j) = f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ; all  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}, i \neq j$ We now need to show that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} f^{-1}(F_i) = \Omega$ . This is true because  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} f^{-1}(F_i) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F_i) = f^{-1}(A) = \Omega$ . Images do not preserve set theoretic operations in general. This is particularly well-illustrated for the case of non one-to-one mappings.

Let 
$$\Omega = \{a, b, c\}, A = \{d, e\}$$
 with  $f(a) = f(b) = d$  and  $f(c) = e$   
Let  $F = \{a\}, F^c = \{b, c\}.$   
 $f(F) = \{d\}$   
 $f(F^c) = f(\{b, c\}) = \{d, e\} \neq [f(F)]^c = \{e\}$ 

2.3 Describe the sigma-field of subsets of  $\Re$  generated by the points or singleton sets. Does this sigma-field contain interals of the form (a, b) for b > a?

The sigma-field S generated by the points must have all countable unions of distinct points of the form  $\bigcup_i \{a_i\}$  together with the complements of such sets of the form  $(\bigcup_i \{b_i\})^c = \bigcap_i \{b_i\}^c$ , which are intersections of the sample space minus an individual point. Since S is a field, it must contain simple unions of the form

$$F = \bigcup_i \{a_i\} \cup \bigcap_j \{b_j\}^c.$$

The sigma-field does not contain intervals since intervals do not have the form of F.

2.7 Let  $\Omega = [0, \infty)$  be a sample space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the sigma-field of subsets of  $\Omega$  generated by all sets of the form (n, n+1) for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

(a) Are the following subsets of  $\Omega$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ? (i)  $[0, \infty)$ , (ii)  $\mathcal{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ , (iii)  $[0, k] \cup [k+1, \infty)$  for any positive integer k, (iv)  $\{k\}$  for any positive integer k, (v) [0, k] for any positive integer k, (v) [1/3, 2).

(b) Define the following set function on subsets of  $\Omega$ :

$$P(F) = c \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : i+1/2 \in F} 3^{-i}.$$

(If there is no i for which  $i + 1/2 \in F$ , then the sum is taken as zero.) Is P a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  for an appropriate choice of c? If so, what is c?

(c) Repeat part (b) with  $\mathcal{B}$ , the Borel field, replacing  $\mathcal{F}$  as the event space.

(d) Repeat part (b) with the power set of  $[0, \infty)$  replacing  $\mathcal{F}$  as the event space.

(e) Find P(F) for the sets F considered in part (a).

(a) i) Yes, because  $\Omega$  is always in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

ii) Yes, because this is the set that is formed by the complement of all of the subsets (n, n+1) for all n=0, 1, 2, ... This can be written

$$\mathcal{Z}_{+} = \left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (n, n+1)\right)^{c} \in \mathcal{F}$$

iii)  $[0, k] \cup [k+1, \infty) = (k, k+1)^c \in \mathcal{F}$ 

iv)  $\{k\} \notin \mathcal{F}$  since the set cannot be constructed as a countable combination of set theoretic operations on generating sets.

v)  $[0, k] \notin \mathcal{F}$  since the set cannot be constructed as a countable combination of set theoretic operations on generating sets.

vi) (1/3, 2) since the set cannot be constructed as a countable combination of set theoretic operations on generating sets.

b) This is a suitable probability measure if  $P(\Omega) = 1$ . It also satisifies the properties of nonnegativity and countable additivity.

$$P(\Omega) = 1 = c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 3^{-i} = \frac{c}{1 - 1/3} = \frac{3c}{2}$$

This means that c = 2/3.

c) This is going to be the same as in part (b), so P is a valid probability measure with c = 2/3.

d) This is going to be the same as in part (b) since P was defined for all sets and is thus a probability measure on the power set.

e) This problem is somewhat problematic because we shouldn't really take probability measures of sets that are not elements of the sigma-field.

i)  $\Omega = [0, \infty)$  and thus P(F) = 1. ii)  $P(\mathcal{Z}_+) = 0$  as there are no *i* for which  $i + 1/2 \in \mathcal{Z}_+$ . iii)  $P([0, k] \cup [k+1, \infty)) = P((k, k+1)^c) = 1 - P((k, k+1)) = 1 - \frac{2}{3} (3^{-k})$ . iv)  $P(\{k\}) = 0$  as there are no *k* for which  $k + 1/2 \in \mathcal{Z}_+$ . v)  $P([0, k]) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c3^{-i} = 1 - 3^{-(k)}$ vi)  $P((1/3, 2)) = c (3^{-0} + 3^{-1}) = \frac{2}{3} (1 + \frac{1}{3}) = \frac{8}{9}$ .

2.9 Consider the measurable space  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$ . Define a set function P on this space as follows:

$$P(F) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } 0 \in F \text{ or } 1 \in F \text{ but not both} \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \in F \text{ and } 1 \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Is P a probability measure?

Yes. *P* is a probability measure if it satisfies the three axioms for probability measures. It satisfies the property of nonnegativity and the property  $P(\Omega) = 1$ . We need to demonstrate countable additivity: (a)  $0 \notin F_i$  and  $0 \notin F_i$  for all *i*. Then  $P(\bigcup_i F_i) = 0 = \sum_i P(F_i)$ .

(b)  $0 \in F_i$  for some i and  $0 \notin F_i$  for all i, or  $1 \in F_i$  for some i and  $1 \notin F_i$  for all i. Then  $P(\bigcup_i F_i) = 1/2 = \sum_i P(F_i)$ .

(c)  $0 \in F_i$  for some i and  $0 \in F_j$  for some  $j \neq k$ . Then  $P(\cup_i F_i) = 1 = \sum_i P(F_i)$ . (d)  $0 \in F_i$  and  $0 \in F_k$  for some k. Then  $P(\cup_i F_i) = 1 = \sum_i P(F_i)$ .

Thus P is a probability measure.

2.10 Let S be a sphere in  $\Re^3$ :  $S = \{(x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \le r^2\}$ , where r is a fixed radius. In the sphere are fixed N molecules of gas, each molecule being considered as an infinitesimal volume (that is, it occupies only a point in space). Define for any subset F of S the function

$$\#(F) = \{\text{the number of molecules in } F\}$$

Show that P(F) = #(F)/N is a probability measure on the measurable space consisting of S and its power set.

We need to demonstrate that this measure satisfies the three axioms for probability measures.  $\#(F) \ge 0 \Rightarrow P(F) = P(F) = \#(F)/N \ge 0$  Nonnegativity  $\#(S) = N \Rightarrow P(\Omega) = N/N = 1$  Normalization

Now we need to prove countable additivity.

For disjoint sets described by  $\{F_i; i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ , we can say that any particle in  $F_i$  is not in  $F_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\#\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} F_i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \#(F_i)$  and this implies  $P\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} F_i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P(F_i)$ 

Suppose now that the disjoint sets are a countable collection  $\{F_i; i = 0, 1, ...\}$ , let M be the largest integer i such that #(F) > 0 (there must be such a finite integer since there are only N particles). Then  $\#(\bigcup_{i=M+1}^{\infty} F_i) = 0$  and

$$\# \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i \right) = \# \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{M} F_i \right) + \# \left( \bigcup_{i=M+1}^{\infty} F_i \right)$$
  
=  $\# \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{M} F_i \right)$   
=  $\sum_{i=0}^{M} \# \left( F_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \# \left( F_i \right)$ 

This implies that  $P(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(F_i)$  and hence P is a probability measure.

**2.16** Prove that  $P(F \cup G) \leq P(F) + P(G)$ . Prove more generally that for any sequence (i.e., countable collection) of events  $F_i$ ,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}F_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}P\left(F_i\right).$$

# This inequality is called the union bound or the Bonferroni inequality. (Hint: use Problem A.2 or Problem 2.1).

We know from 2.1 that in general,  $P(F \cup G) = P(F) + P(G) - P(F \cap G)$ From non-negativity, we know that  $P(F \cap G) \ge 0$  and thus  $P(F \cup G) \le P(F) + P(G)$ . Let  $G_i = F_i - \bigcup_{j < i} F_j$  which makes these sets of G disjoint.  $P(\bigcup_i F_i) = P(\bigcup_i G_i)$   $= \sum_i P(G_i)$   $= \sum_i P(F_i - \bigcup_{j < i} F_j)$ We know that  $P(F_i - \bigcup_{j < i} F_j) \le P(F_i)$  $\le \sum_i P(F_i)$ 

2.23 Answer true or false for each of the following statements. Answers must be justified. (a) The following is a valid probability measure on the sample space  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  with event space  $\mathcal{F} =$  all subsets of  $\Omega$ .

$$P(F) = \frac{1}{21} \sum_{i \in F} i; \text{ all } F \in \mathcal{F}$$

True.

To prove this, we have to show that the probability measure satisifies the different axioms.

 $P(F) \ge 0$  so nonnegativity is satisifed.

 $P(\Omega) = 1$  so normalization is satisfied.

Now countable additivity needs to be proved.

If F and G are disjoint, then  $P(F \cup G) = P(F) + P(G)$   $P(F) = \frac{1}{21} \sum_{\substack{i \in F \\ i \notin G}} i$   $P(G) = \frac{1}{21} \sum_{\substack{i \in F \\ i \in G}} i \notin F i$  $P(F \cup G) = \frac{1}{21} \sum_{i \in (F \cup G)} i = \frac{1}{21} \left( \sum_{\substack{i \in F \\ i \notin G}} i + \sum_{\substack{i \notin F \\ i \notin G}} i \end{pmatrix} = P(F) + P(G)$ 

(b) The following is a valid probability measure on the sample space  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  with event space  $\mathcal{F} =$  all subsets of  $\Omega$ :

$$P(F) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \in F \text{ or } 6 \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

False.

This is not a valid probability measure because countable additivity is not satisfied.  $P(\{2\}) = 1.$   $P(\{6\}) = 1.$   $P(\{2,6\}) = 1.$  $P(\{2,6\}) \neq P(\{2\}) + P(\{6\})$ 

(c) If  $P(G \cup F) = P(F) + P(G)$ , then F and G are independent. False. If F and G are independent, then  $P(F \cap G) = P(F)P(G)$ By definition,  $P(G \cup F) = P(F) + P(G) - P(F \cap G)$ . Because P(F) > 0 and P(G) > 0, then if F and G are independent,  $P(F \cap G) > 0$  and  $P(G \cup F) \neq P(F) + P(G)$  (d)  $P(F|G) \ge P(G)$  for all events F and G. False. Just pick two disjoint events F and G with nonzero probability for P(G). Then, P(F|G) = 0 and P(G) > 0.

## (e) Muturally exclusive (disjoint) events with nonzero probability cannot be independent. True.

Suppose that F and G have nonzero probability so that P(F) P(G) > 0. Since the events are disjoint,  $P(F \cap G) = 0$  and thus  $P(F|G) = P(F \cap G) / P(G) = 0 \neq P(F)$ . Thus, the events cannot be independent.

(f) For any finite collection of events  $F_{i;i} = 1, 2, ..., N$ 

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} F_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} P\left(F_{i}\right)$$

True.

Define  $G_n = F_n - \bigcup_{j < i} F_j$ . Then  $G_n \subset F_n$  and the  $G_n$  are disjoint so that

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} F_i) = P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} G_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(G_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} P(F_i)$$

2.26 Given a sample space  $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  define

$$p(k) = \frac{\gamma}{2^k}; \ k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

#### (a) What must $\gamma$ be in order for p(k) to be a pmf?

To be a valid pmf, it must be positive for all values of k and satisfy  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k) = 1$ . The infinite sum of a geometric progression with ratio a, |a| < 1 is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \frac{1}{1-a}$$

Thus, we can write:  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \frac{1}{1-1/2} = 2 = \frac{1}{\gamma}$   $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \text{ and our pmf is } p(k) = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$ 

(b) Find the probabilities  $P(\{0, 2, 4, 6, ...\}), P(\{1, 3, 5, 7, ...\}), and P(\{1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 20\}).$ Even outcomes:  $P(\{0, 2, 4, 6, ...\}) = p(0) + p(2) + p(4) + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(2i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2i+1}}$   $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2i}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^i = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-1/4} = \frac{2}{3}.$ Odd outcomes:  $P(\{1, 3, 5, 6, ...\}) = 1 - P(\{0, 2, 4, 5, ...\}) = 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}.$ Finite outcomes:

Formula for finite sum of N + 1 successive terms of geometric progression with ratio a:

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N+n} a^k = a^n \cdot \frac{1 - a^{N+1}}{1 - a}$$

 $P\left(\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, 20\}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{20} p\left(k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{20} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{20} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (1/2)^{21}}{1 - 1/2} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{21} \approx 1 - 4.8 \times 10^{-7}$ 

(c) Suppose that K is a fixed integer. Find  $P(\{0, K, 2K, 3K, ...\})$ . This is very similar to computing the even outcomes case above:  $P(\{0, K, 2K, 3K, ...\}) = p(0) + p(K) + p(2K) + \cdots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p(Ki) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{K_i+1}}$  $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{K_i}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^K}\right)^i = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-(1/2)^K} = \frac{2^{K-1}}{2^{K-1}}.$ 

## (d) Find the mean, second moment, and variance of this pmf.

We know from a geometric pmf that  $p(k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p$ ; k=1, 2, . . . , where  $p \in (0, 1)$  is a parameter that the mean is 1/p and the variance is  $(1-p)/p^2$ . Suppose that p = 1/2. This means that  $p(1/2) = (1/2)(1/2)^{k-1} = (1/2)^k$ . This is useful because this define the following sums:  $m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = 1/p = 2$   $\sigma^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-m)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \frac{1-p}{p^2} = \frac{1/2}{1/4} = 2$   $m^{(2)} = \sigma^2 + m^2 = 2 + 4 = 6 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$ The pmf of this problem can then be considered in relation to the geometric pmf  $m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kp(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \cdot k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = 1$   $m^{(2)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 p(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{k^2}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 6 = 3$  $\sigma^2 = m^{(2)} - m^2 = 3 - 1 = 2$