## Feedforward Control

So far, most of the focus of this course has been on feedback control. In certain situations, the performance of control systems can be enhanced greatly by the application of feedforward control. What you need to look for are two key characteristics:

1. An identifiable disturbance is affecting significantly the measured variable, in spite of the attempts of a feedback control system to regulate these effects, and
2. This disturbance can be measured, perhaps with the addition of instrumentation.
Also, we would be interesting in controlling the source of the disturbance locally, before it affects our main process, if that were possible. If it is possible, we would usually implement cascade control, not feedforward control.

## Examples of Feedforward Control

- Shower
- Hear toilet flush (measurement)
- Adjust water to compensate
- Feedback is when you wait for the water to turn hot before changing the setting
- Car approaching hill
- See how steep the hill is (measurement)
- Push on pedal to keep steady speed
- Feedback is to wait for slowing before adjusting pedal
- Chemical system
- Measure something in feed stream
- like $\mathrm{T}_{\text {water,return }}$ in heating plant
- Change heat to reactor


## Example



- Goal: Cool down hot water with cold water stream
- Controlled \& measured variable: $\mathrm{T}_{\text {out }}$
- Manipulated variable: flow rate of hot stream ( $\mathrm{q}_{\text {hot }}$ )


## Portion of Block Diagram



How do we manipulate $U(s)$ to cancel the effect that $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{s})$ will have on $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{s})$ ?

## Derivation

1. Write an algebraic equation for the block diagram
$Y(s)=D(s) \cdot G_{d}(s)+U(s) \cdot G_{p}(s)$
2. If $Y(s)$ is to be unaffected by $D(s)$, then we want $Y(s)=0$
3. Solve for $U(s)$ in terms of $D(s)$

$$
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~s})=\left[-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{~s}) / \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{~s})\right] \cdot \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

So $G_{f f}=-G_{d}(s) / G_{p}(s)$

## If $G_{d}$ and $G_{p}$ are first order

$$
G_{p}(s)=\frac{K_{p} e^{-\theta_{p} s}}{\tau_{p} s+1} \quad G_{d}(s)=\frac{K_{d} e^{-\theta_{d} s}}{\tau_{d} s+1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{f f}(s)=-\frac{\left(\frac{K_{d} e^{-\theta_{d} s}}{\tau_{d} s+1}\right)}{\left(\frac{K_{p} e^{-\theta_{p} s}}{\tau_{p} s+1}\right)} & =-\frac{K_{d}}{K_{p}} \frac{\tau_{p} s+1}{\tau_{d} s+1} e^{-\left(\theta_{d}-\theta_{p}\right) s} \\
& =-\frac{K_{d}}{K_{p}} \text { static }
\end{aligned}
$$

## When is $G_{f f}$ not feasible?

$$
G_{f f}(s)=-\frac{K_{d}}{K_{p}} \frac{\tau_{p} s+1}{\tau_{d} s+1} e^{-\left(\theta_{d}-\theta_{p}\right) s}
$$

When $\theta_{p}>\theta_{d}$, the function will grow without bounds

## Modify block diagram



## Feed Forward with Feedback Trim



## Back to equipment diagram



- Measure the disturbance (fluctuating cold water inlet flow rate)
- Adjust controller through model $\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ff}}\right)$


## Seborg's version



Figure 15.11

## Disturbance Rejection Performance



## Practice

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{p}(s)=\frac{0.6 e^{-37 s}}{39 s+1} \quad G_{d}(s)=\frac{0.25 e^{-57 s}}{31 s+1} \\
& \frac{K_{d}}{K_{p}}=\frac{0.25}{0.60}=0.417 \\
& \theta_{d}-\theta_{p}=57-37=20 \\
& G_{f f}=-0.417 \frac{39 s+1}{31 s+1} e^{-20 s}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Physically Realizable $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{ff}}$

1. The exponential term must be negative

- $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}<\theta_{\mathrm{d}}$

2. The order of the numerator must be less than or equal to that of the denominator

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{(s+1)(s+2)(s+3)}{(s+4)(s+5)} & \text { Physically unrealizable } \\
\frac{(s+1)(s+3)}{(s+4)(s+5)} & \text { Physically realizable } \\
\frac{(s+1)(s+3)}{s^{3}+s^{2}+s+1} & \text { Physically realizable }
\end{array}
$$

## Comparison of Feedforward \& Cascade

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cascade | Feedforward |
| Sensors | 2 | 2 |
| Controllers | 2 | 1 |
| Valve | 1 | 1 |
| Model | 0 | 1 |
| Restrictions | $t_{\text {settling }}$ small for <br> inner loop | $\theta_{p}<\theta_{\mathrm{d}}$ |

## Recommendation

- Use cascade first
- Use feedforward when
- Disturbance can be isolated
- There is no "inner loop" variable that responds to the manipulated variable
- Cannot use the same valve to control the disturbance


## Feed Forward vs Cascade

## Jacketed Reactor

FeedForward with Feedback
Cascade


# Feed Forward vs Cascade 

## Jacketed Reactor

## FeedForward with Feedback

Disturbance Rejection Performance of PI With Feed Forward


Tuning: Gain $=-2.70$, Reset Time $=1.60$, Deriv Time $=0.0$, Sample Time $=1.00$
Process Model: Gain $(\mathrm{Kp})=-0.36, \mathrm{~T} 1=1.58, \mathrm{~T} 2=0.0, \mathrm{TD}=0.88, \mathrm{TL}=0.0$
Disturbance Model: $\operatorname{Gain}(\mathrm{Kd})=0.95, \mathrm{~T} 1=1.92, \mathrm{~T} 2=0.0, \mathrm{TD}=1.30, \mathrm{TL}=0.0$

Cascade
Disturbance Rejection Performance of Cascade Architecture
Process: Cascade Jacketed Reactor Pri: PID ( $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{RA}, \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{ARW}, \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{off}, \mathrm{F}=$ off)


Tuning: Gain $=-6.40$, Sample Time $=1.00$

## Control Station Example

## Jacketed Reactor




Volunteer needed

