Chemical Engineering 378

Science of Materials Engineering

Lecture 6 Point Defects, Solid Solutions



Spiritual Thought

"Why do any of us have to be so mean and unkind to others? Why can't all of us reach out in friendship to everyone about us? Why is there so much bitterness and animosity? It is not a part of the gospel of Jesus Christ... There is no end to the good we can do, to the influence we can have with others. Let us not dwell on the critical or the negative. Let us pray for strength; let us pray for capacity and desire to assist others. Let us radiate the light of the gospel at all times and all places, that the Spirit of the Redeemer may radiate from us."



-President Gordon B. Hinkley

"The Need for Greater Kindness"

Materials Roadmap



Solidification

- Solidification- result of casting of molten material
 - 2 steps
 - Nuclei of the solid phase form
 - Crystals grow until their boundaries meet each other the crystals become grains
- Start with a molten material all liquid



Grains and Grain Boundaries

Grain Boundaries

- regions between grains (crystals)
- crystallographic misalignment across a grain boundary
- Slight atomic disorder
 - high atomic mobility
 - high chemical reactivity



Angle of misalignment

Fig. 4.8, Callister & Rethwisch 10e.

Imperfections in Solids

There is no such thing as a perfect crystal!



Crystalline imperfections (or defects) are always present.

- Impact Material Properties
- Crystalline defect \rightarrow lattice irregularity \rightarrow atom diameter
- Types?

Types of Imperfections

- Vacancies
- Interstitial atoms
- Substitutional impurity atoms
- Dislocations

Grain Boundaries

Point defects (0-Dimensional)

Linear defects (1-Dimensional)

Interfacial defects (2-Dimensional)



Point Defects in Metals

• Vacancies:

-vacant atomic sites.



• Self-Interstitials:

-Host atoms positioned in interstitial positions between atoms.





Vacancies – How Many? Equilibrium Concentration

• Equilibrium concentration varies with temperature



Note: Each lattice site is a potential vacancy.



Determination of Activation Energy for Vacancy Formation

• Q_v can be determined experimentally.



- Data may be plotted as...
- Replot data as follows...



Example Problem

- Find the equilibrium number of vacancies in 1 m³ of Cu at 1000° C.
- Given:

 $ho = 8.4 \text{ g/cm}^3$ $A_{Cu} = 63.5 \text{ g/mol}$ $Q_V = 0.9 \text{ eV/atom}$ $N_A = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atoms/mol}$

Solution: The first step is to determine the total number of lattice sites *N* using Equation 4.2

$$N = \frac{N_A \rho}{A_{Cu}} = \frac{(6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ sites/mol})(8.4 \text{ g/cm}^3)}{63.5 \text{ g/mol}} \left(\frac{10^6 \text{ cm}^3}{\text{m}^3}\right)$$

 $N = 8.0 \times 10^{28} \text{ sites/m}^3$

Computation of Equilibrium Vacancy Concentration (continued)

The second step is to determine the equilibrium vacancy concentration N_V using Equation 4.1.

$$N_{V} = N \exp\left(\frac{-Q_{V}}{kT}\right) = N \exp\left(\frac{-0.9 \text{ eV/atom}}{(8.62 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/atom-K})(1273 \text{ K})}\right)$$

= (2.7 x 10⁻⁴) N

• Answer:

 N_V = (2.7 x 10⁻⁴)(8.0 x 10²⁸) sites/m³ = 2.2 x 10²⁵ vacancies/m³

Impurities in Metals

Two outcomes if impurity B atoms are added to a solid composed of host A atoms:

• Solid solution of B in A (i.e., random dist. of B atoms)



 Solid solution of B in A, plus particles of a new phase (usually for larger concentrations of B)



- Second phase particle
- -- different composition
- -- often different structure.





Impurities in Metals (continued)

Conditions for formation of substitutional solid solutions

- W. Hume Rothery rules
 - $-1. \Delta r$ (atomic radius) < 15%
 - 2. Proximity in periodic table
 - i.e., similar electronegativities
 - 3. Same crystal structure for pure metals
 - 4. Valences
 - All else being equal, a metal will have a greater tendency to dissolve a metal of higher valence than one of lower valence



Impurities in Metals (continued)

Application of Hume–Rothery rules – Solid Solutions

	Element	Atomic Radius (nm)	Crystal Structure	Electro- nega- tivity	Valence
Ex: Would you predict	Cu	0.1278	FCC	1.9	+2
more Al or Aa to	С	0.071			
	Н	0.046			
aissoive in Zn?	0	0.060			
	Ag	0.1445	FCC	1.9	(+1)
1. Δr – slightly favors Al	AI	0.1431	FCC	1.5	+3
 Electronegativity – favors Al Crystal structure – tie 	Co	0.1253	HCP	1.8	+2
	Cr	0.1249	BCC	1.6	+3
	Fe	0.1241	BCC	1.8	+2
 Valences –higher valance mo 	re Ni	0.1246	FCC	1.8	+2
soluble so favors Al	Pd	0.1376	FCC	2.2	+2
	Zn	0.1332	HCP	(1.6)	(+2)

This suggests Al is more solubleTable on p. 135, Callister & Rethwisch 9e.in Zn. This agrees with experimental observations.



Example

Class Exercise 2: Application of Hume–Rothery rules – Solid Solutions

a)Would you predict	Element	Atomic Radius (nm)	Crystal Structure	Electro- nega- tivity	Valence
more Al or Cu	Cu C	0.1278 0.071	FCC	1.8	+2
to dissolve in Zn?	H O	0.046 0.060			
b)More Co or Cr	Ag	0.1445	FCC	1.4	+1
	Al	0.1431	FCC	1.5	+3
in Fe?	Co	0.1253	HCP	1.7	+2
	Cr	0.1249	BCC	1.6	+3
c) More Ag or Al	Fe	0.1241	BCC	1.7	+2
	Ni	0.1246	FCC	1.8	+2
in Zn?	Pd	0.1376	FCC	1.4	+2
	Zn	0.1332	HCP	1.7	+2

Cu-Zn Phase Diagram



Specification of Composition

- weight percent
$$C_1 = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \times 100$$

 m_1 = mass of component 1

- atom percent
$$C'_{1} = \frac{n_{m1}}{n_{m1} + n_{m2}} \times 100$$

 n_{m1} = number of moles of component 1

- conversion

$$C_1' = \frac{C_1 A_2}{C_1 A_2 + C_2 A_1} \times 100$$
$$C_2' = \frac{C_2 A_1}{C_1 A_2 + C_2 A_1} \times 100$$