

Lecture 12 - Numpy Linear Algebra & Computational Cost

Outline

- I. Numpy Linear Algebra
- II. Computational Cost
- III. Asymptotic Behavior

I. Numpy Linear Algebra

* Modules & Built in functions make our life easier! :)

↙
Numpy (numerical python) → linalg (linear algebra)

* Example Functions

- Array math (element wise addition, subtraction, multiplication, scalar multiplication)

$$\underline{v} + \underline{w}, \quad \underline{v} * \underline{w} \quad (\text{vector, matrix})$$

- Sums & dot/inner products

- $\text{np.sum}(\underline{v})$

- $\text{np.dot}(\underline{v}, \underline{w})$

↖ vectors

- $\text{np.dot}(A, b)$

↖ matrices

- $\text{np.dot}(A, \underline{v})$

↖ matrix ↖ vector

sum-v

for i in range(len(v)):
sum-v += v[i]

- Transpose

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

A

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

A.T

A^T

• $\|v\|$ (norm) - $(\sum_i v[i]**2)^{1/2}$

$\text{np.linalg.norm}(v)$ or $\text{np.linalg.norm}(A)$

• Linear Solutions ← Gauss Elimination
(FE + BS → 3 nested loops)
2"

(1) $x = \text{np.linalg.solve}(A, b)$
(easiest, fastest for "dense" matrix)

$$\underline{A} \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

(2) $A_{inv} = \text{np.linalg.inv}(A)$
 $x = \text{np.dot}(A_{inv}, b)$
(2 steps, need to store A^{-1})
But, easy for multiple b 's

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} \cdot A \cdot x &= A^{-1} \cdot b \\ I \cdot x &= A^{-1} \cdot b \\ x &= A^{-1} \cdot b \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= b_1 \\ Ax &= b_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

(Bonus)
LU decomposition

Example video (A)

II. Computational Cost.

* When we run an algorithm, how many resources are we consuming?

- Memory - can I store 100k data points?
- CPU time - 5min? 5hrs? 5years?

→ Cell phone vs. Supercomputer

* Memory: count # of bits you use.

array of 500 floats (double = 8 bytes = 64 bits)
per float

$$8 \frac{\text{bytes}}{\text{float}} \times 500 \text{ floats} = 4000 \text{ bytes} = 4 \text{ KB}$$

$$1000 \text{ B} = 1 \text{ KB} \checkmark$$

$$1000 \text{ KB} = 1 \text{ MB} \checkmark$$

$$1000 \text{ MB} = 1 \text{ GB} \checkmark$$

$$1000 \text{ GB} = 1 \text{ TB} \checkmark$$

- * If I run a program, the memory consumed consists of all of my variables (+ a little extra)
- ↓
- Big calculation → dominated by big arrays
- O.S. call functions, etc.

* Running Time (CPU)

- Determined by the # of clock cycles on your CPU.
- ↳ exact time is not easy to predict
- But # of executed lines of code is a good proxy.

Example:

		<u>cost</u>	<u># of times</u>
1:	for i in range(n):	C_1	n
2:	print(i)	C_2	n
∞	0 1 ⋮ n-1		

Some number e.g. 10.

$$\text{Total time: } T(n) = C_1 \cdot n + C_2 \cdot n$$

$$= (C_1 + C_2) n$$

Big Calculations → dominated by big loops

↑
want to know this dependence.

Activity

Calculate how long it takes the CPU to execute this code:

		<u>Cost</u>	<u># times</u>
1:	for i in range(n):	C_1	n
2:	for j in range(n):	C_2	$n \times n = n^2$
3:	$A[i,j] = i + j$	C_3	n^2

$$T(n) = ?$$

$$= C_1 n + (C_2 + C_3) n^2$$

III. Asymptotic Behavior

* How can I know how long my code is going to run, when I have a "big" system

$n=5$ (small) - fast

$n=10^3$ (big) - ?

$n \rightarrow \infty$ (asymptotic behavior)

* $T_1(n) = c_1 n^2$

$$T_2(n) = c_2 n^2 + \underline{c_3 n}$$

suppose $n=10^5$

$c_1 \approx 1.1 \text{ sec.}$

$c_2 = c_3 \approx 1 \text{ sec.}$

$$T_1 = 1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ sec.}$$

(3600 sec/hr)

$$= 1.10000 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ sec.}$$

$$T_2(n) = 1 \cdot 10^{10} + 1 \cdot 10^5$$

$$= 1.00001 \cdot 10^{10}$$

* when n is big, bigger powers matter most!

* We really only care about the biggest power!

$$T(n) = c_1 n^2 + c_2 n + \dots = O(n^2) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{"order } n^2\text{"} \\ \nearrow \text{for big } n, n^2 \text{ only matters} \end{array}$$

$$T(n) = c_1 n^3 + c_2 n^2 + \dots = O(n^3) \quad \text{"order } n^3\text{"}$$

↑
loops.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Gauss Elim: FE} & \rightarrow & O(n^3) \checkmark \leftarrow 10^9 \\ \text{BS} & \rightarrow & O(n^2) \checkmark \leftarrow 10^6 \end{array} \quad n=10^3$$

GE?

$$\longrightarrow O(n^3)$$

How is this practical

* Just Back Sub: $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^2) \approx c n^2$

experiment measured. $\rightarrow$ Suppose: @ $n=10^2$, $T(n) = 10 \text{ sec.}$
How long will it take for $n=10^4$?

$$10 \text{ sec} = c (10^2)^2 \Rightarrow c = \frac{10 \text{ sec}}{10^4} = 10^{-3} \text{ sec.}$$

depends on
Mac? PC?
Intel?
i7, i5?
compiled
C++?
python?

$$T(10^4) = c (10^4)^2 = c \cdot 10^8 = 10^{-3} \text{ sec.} \cdot 10^8 \\ = 10^5 \text{ sec.} \approx 30 \text{ hrs} = \underline{1 \text{ day.}}$$

alternate. $\left[10 \text{ sec.} \cdot (100 \times)^2 = 10^5 \text{ sec.} \right]$

$$\left(\frac{10^4 \leftarrow \text{new}}{10^2 \leftarrow \text{old}} \right)^2 \leftarrow \text{order}$$

$$3600 \text{ sec} = 1 \text{ hr.} \\ \approx 10^3$$

multiplier $= 10^4 \cdot 10 \text{ sec} = 10^5 \text{ sec.}$ $\downarrow$ meas.